

Name: **Guy Singleton**

Post code: **SN8 4NE**

Address: **31 Cadley**

Are you responding as an

Organisation or Body	Yes / No
-----------------------------	---------------------

If Yes - Name of organisation or body?

Savernake Parish Council

Individual	Yes / No
-------------------	---------------------

Consultee Type - capacity in which you are commenting on the Plan - **Please answer this even if you do not wish to be identified.**

Resident of Marlborough, Manton, Mildenhall or Savernake	Yes / No (please delete as appropriate)
Neighbouring community resident	Yes / No (please delete as appropriate)
Local Business	Yes / No (please delete as appropriate)
Local Voluntary, Community or Faith Group	Yes / No (please delete as appropriate)
Other (please specify)	Yes / No (please delete as appropriate) Parish Council is part of the MANP group

PLEASE NOTE: Your personal details will not be published as part of the consultation response, but anonymous comments will not be actioned so please provide contact details to accompany your comments. Communication regarding progress of the Plan and amendments to be made will be publicised. No individual responses will be given. Both Marlborough Town Council (as the qualifying body for the Plan) and the Marlborough Area Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group have a duty to protect personal information and will process personal data in accordance the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The personal data you provide on this form will only be used for the purpose of the Marlborough Area Neighbourhood Plan. The data will be stored on computer and/or manual files. This data will be passed on to Wiltshire Council for the Regulation 16 Consultation.

FEEDBACK/COMMENTS

Plan Page/ Policy Number	COMMENTS/FEEDBACK
	<p>The councillors have asked that the following comments are made on behalf of Savernake Parish Council on the draft Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It was felt that although parking has been considered within MANP, insufficient weight had been put on this, and that taking into account that investment in a multi-storey car park is unlikely, and space limitations, more lateral thinking should be applied, for instance the use of a shuttle bus from underutilised parking areas such as private business parking spaces at the Marlborough Business Park and St Johns School at the weekend, or on Marlborough Common during the week would help to relieve pressure on the existing car parking spaces. The plan aims to promote tourism but without parking spaces this will not happen.• It was also felt that insufficient consideration has been given to youth activities, facilities especially sports pitches, the current housing development and the proposed housing will increase the number of young people in the town and it is important that provision for this is made.• The Parish Council welcomed the policy to encourage the creation of footpaths and cycleways but felt that the plan should identify routes which can be created and upgraded, in particular improved foot and cycle ways to link the town with Savernake Forest, and believe that it should be a condition of planning consent that such identified routes are created and improved.• With regard to the additional housing at Marlberg Grange, if this is to go ahead, the amount of vehicular traffic at peak times will increase substantially so a condition of planning permission there should be an independent survey of traffic flows within Marlborough and a scheme should be implemented to enable traffic travelling north on the A346 to have improved rights of way over the westbound A4, to reduce the build-up of traffic on the A346.• It should be clearly stated that the affordable housing generated from the proposed new housing should only be allocated to those living within the MANP Area, or those closely related to existing long term residents within the MANP area.• Where new development is permitted there should be dedicated parking for at least two cars wherever possible as well as visitor parking areas, and electric charging points provided. In a rural area there is a much higher likelihood of a household requiring more than one vehicle.

- Electric Charging points and priority parking for electric cars should be provided in the town car parks and on the High Street.
- In the event that the Elcot Lane proposal goes ahead, additional parking should be created to deal with the existing problems in White Horse Road, and consideration given to an additional access off Barnfield, Elcot Lane or Chopping Knife Lane.
- The PC expressed concern about the accuracy / justification of the forecasting of the demand for affordable housing as this links directly into the proposed housing numbers. This needs to be explained much more clearly, in particularly the differences between the two reports.